Application No.: 10/572,409

Art Unit 1624

Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2010

REMARKS

Docket No.: 4951-0111PUS1

Page 7 of 10

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the present application in

view of the foregoing amendments to the claims and the following remarks.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-12 are now present in this application, wherein claims 5-10 have been

withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1, 5-7 and 10 are independent.

In the present Amendment, claims 11-12 have been added, and claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7

have been amended.

No new matter has been added with the present amendments and new claims. The

amendments to claim 1 delete the preferred embodiment recited within, and are otherwise are

editorial in nature. The remaining amendments are clarifying in nature and not narrowing in

scope. By deleting/amending these terms in order to clarify the claimed invention (e.g., "where"

to "wherein"), Applicants in no way are conceding any limitations with respect to the

interpretation of the claims under the Doctrine of Equivalents. New claims 11-12 have support

in original claim 1. Applicants note that at least new claim 11 is directed to the elected subject

matter.

Based upon the above considerations, entry of the present amendment is respectfully

requested.

In view of the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner

withdraw the only rejection and allow the currently pending claims.

Application No.: 10/572,409

Art Unit 1624

Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2010

Information Disclosure Citation

Applicants thank the Examiner for considering the references supplied with the

Docket No.: 4951-0111PUS1

Page 8 of 10

Information Disclosure Statements filed March 16, 2006 and December 3, 2009, and for

providing Applicants with initialed copies of the PTO-SB08 forms filed therewith. Regarding

the 2006 IDS, though reference "CA" was crossed-out by the Examiner, this reference is the

same as reference "CB".

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph

Claims 1-4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite,

as stated in subparagraphs a.-c. on page 4 of the Office Action. This rejection is respectfully

traversed.

Regarding subparagraphs a. and b. of the Office Action, Applicants respectfully refer the

Examiner to the disputed claims as shown herein. For instance, "R2" has been changed to "R2"

for formula II.

Regarding subparagraph c. of the Office Action, Applicants have deleted "e.g." and the

specific suitable amino protecting groups that appear after "e.g.". Still, Applicants note new

claim 11.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims, as amended, particularly point out

and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Application No.: 10/572,409 Docket No.: 4951-0111PUS1

Art Unit 1624

Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2010

Rejoinder

Applicants note paragraph 2 of the Office Action. It is believed that claims 1-4 and 11

are in condition for allowance. Thus, rejoinder of the withdrawn claims, as well as allowance of

new claim 12, are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or

rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all

presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and

complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present

application is in condition for allowance.

In view of the above amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Eugene T. Perez, Registration No.

48501 at the telephone number of the undersigned below to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

GMM/ETP/etp

Page 9 of 10

Application No.: 10/572,409

Art Unit 1624

Reply to Office Action of March 11, 2010

Docket No.: 4951-0111PUS1

Page 10 of 10

If necessary, the Director is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge any fees required during the pendency of the above-identified application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

_		•	
1	at.	ed	•
$\boldsymbol{\smile}$	au	vu	

JUN 7 2010

Respectfully submitted,

∠ Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.

Registration No.: 28977

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

703-205-8000